

FILED

OCT 08 2019

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WEST. DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIA

DOGGIE DENTAL INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GO WELL, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 19-1282

FILED UNDER SEAL

[PROPOSED] 1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; 2) ORDER RESTRAINING ASSETS AND MERCHANT STOREFRONTS; 3) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT ISSUE; AND 4) ORDER AUTHORIZING EXPEDITED DISCOVERY

This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining assets and Merchant Storefronts (as defined *infra*); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; and 4) an order authorizing expedited discovery against the Defendants identified on **Schedule "A"** to the Complaint and attached hereto (collectively, the "Defendants"). The Court has considered the Application, the evidence in the record, and the applicable law.

By the instant Application, Plaintiffs Doggie Dental Inc. and Peter Dertsakyan move *ex parte* pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 116, 17 U.S.C. § 502, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 64 and 65, and The All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), for entry of a temporary restraining order and an order restraining assets and Merchant Storefronts, for violations of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125(a), the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 501, and related state law claims. Because Plaintiffs have satisfied the requirements for the issuance of a temporary restraining order, the Court grants Plaintiffs' Application.

FACTUAL FINDINGS & CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. Plaintiffs, Doggie Dental, Inc. (“Doggie Dental”) and Peter Dertsakyan (“Dertsakyan”), are likely to prevail on their Lanham Act claims, Copyright claims, and related state law claims at trial.

2. Dertsakyan is the inventor of the BRISTLY[®] dog toothbrush and the owner of intellectual property related thereto; Doggie Dental is the exclusive licensee of such intellectual property. Plaintiffs developed and sell a unique and revolutionary product under the federally registered trademark BRISTLY (“BRISTLY[®] Mark”) that safely and easily permits dogs to brush their own teeth removing plaque and tarter (“BRISTLY[®] Product”).

3. Plaintiffs have gained significant common law trademark and other rights in their BRISTLY[®] Product, through use, advertising, and promotion.

4. Dertsakyan is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 5,815,298 for BRISTLY directed to “Non-medicated dental preparations for pets, namely, toothpaste and preparations for removing plaque; Home dental care products for dogs and cats, namely, toothpaste; Dental care and oral hygiene products for pets, namely, tooth cleaning preparations; Non-edible dental chews for pets; Non-medicated oral dental chews for dogs.”

5. Dertsakyan is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 5,844,832 for BRISTLY directed to “Toothbrushes for animals; Toothbrushes for pets; Home dental care products for dogs and cats, namely, toothbrush.”

6. Dertsakyan is the owner of U.S. copyright registration VA 2-122-455 directed to various photographs related to the BRISTLY[®] dog toothbrush (the “BRISTLY[®] Works”).

Dertsakyan is also the owner of unregistered copyrights related to the BRISTLY[®] dog toothbrush.

7. In addition, Dertsakyan is also the owner of various design patent applications directed to the BRISTLY[®] dog toothbrush, including an issued European Registered Community Design (005818606-0001), a pending U.S. design patent application, and a pending Chinese design patent application.

8. Defendants, by operating Internet based e-commerce stores, and fully interactive, commercial Internet websites operating under Defendants' respective seller identities set forth on Schedule "A" hereto (the "Seller IDs"), have advertised, promoted, sold, and offered for sale goods featuring, displaying, and/or using Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Mark and/or Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Works without authorization and Plaintiffs have determined the products that each Defendant is offering for sale are not genuine BRISTLY[®] products.

9. Through the e-commerce marketplace platform, Plaintiffs accessed all of the e-commerce stores operating under Defendants' Seller IDs and captured the Defendants' listings at issue on the e-commerce stores. At the conclusion of the process, the detailed webpages and photographs were inspected by Plaintiffs' representative who confirmed that each Defendant is featuring, displaying, and/or using Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Mark and/or Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Works without authorization and the products that each Defendant is offering for sale are not genuine BRISTLY[®] products.

10. Plaintiffs have a strong probability of proving at trial that consumers are likely to be confused by Defendants' advertisement, promotion, sale, offer for sale, or distribution of products with unauthorized and unlicensed uses of Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Mark and copyrighted works in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114, and Section 43(a) of the

Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), that Plaintiffs' copyrights have been infringed in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 501, and prevailing on their related state law claims.

11. Plaintiffs, as well as consumers and animal owners, are likely to suffer immediate and irreparable losses, damages and injuries before Defendants can be heard in opposition, unless Plaintiffs' Application for *ex parte* relief is granted. There is good cause to believe that the unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] Mark and copyrighted works will continue in the marketplace; that consumers are likely to be misled, confused, and disappointed by the quality of the products so advertised, and that Plaintiffs may suffer loss of sales for their genuine products and an unnatural erosion of the legitimate marketplace in which they operate. There is also good cause to believe that if Plaintiffs proceed on notice to Defendants of this Application, Defendants can easily and quickly change the ownership or modify e-commerce store account data and content, change payment accounts, redirect consumer traffic to other seller identification names, and transfer assets and ownership of Seller IDs, thereby thwarting Plaintiffs' ability to obtain meaningful relief. As other courts have recognized, proceedings against those who deliberately traffic in infringing merchandise are often useless if notice is given to the adverse party.

12. The balance of potential harm to Defendants of being prevented from continuing to profit from their illegal and infringing activities if a temporary restraining order is issued is far outweighed by the potential harm to Plaintiffs, their reputation, and their goodwill as a manufacturer and distributor of quality products, if such relief is not issued.

13. The public interest favors issuance of the temporary restraining order in order to protect Plaintiffs' interests and protect the public from being deceived and defrauded by the passing off of Defendants substandard goods as Plaintiffs' genuine goods.

14. Under Pennsylvania law this Court may issue a prejudgment asset restraint where Plaintiffs' complaint asserts a claim for money damages. This Court also has the inherent authority to issue a prejudgment asset restraint when Plaintiffs' complaint seeks relief in equity. According to both the Lanham Act and Copyright Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)(1) and 17 U.S.C. 504(b), Plaintiffs are entitled, "subject to the principles of equity, to recover ... defendant's profits." Plaintiffs seek, among other relief, that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiffs all profits realized by Defendants by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts. Therefore, this Court has the authority to grant Plaintiffs' request for a prejudgment asset freeze to preserve the relief sought by Plaintiffs and preserve the Defendants' ability to at least partially satisfy a judgment.

15. Similarly, if Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to destroy, move, hide or otherwise make inaccessible to Plaintiffs the records and documents relating to Defendants' illegal and infringing activities. Therefore, Plaintiffs have good cause to be granted expedited discovery.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, Plaintiffs' Application is hereby **GRANTED** as follows (the "Order"):

I. Temporary Restraining Order

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that each Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, subsidiaries, distributors, and all persons in active concert or participation with any Defendant having notice of this Order are hereby restrained as follows:

- (1) from (a) their unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] trademark and copyrights in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any products; and (b) shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner products which use Plaintiffs' BRISTLY[®] trademark and copyrights;
- (2) from secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to their User Accounts,¹ Merchant Storefronts² or any money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants' Assets");
- (3) effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Account, Merchant Storefront or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, and/or display for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order;
- (4) each Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, subsidiaries, distributors, and all persons in active concert or participation with any Defendant having notice of this Order shall immediately discontinue use of the BRISTLY[®] Mark and/or BRISTLY[®]

¹ As defined in the Application, a "User Account" is, as defined in the Complaint, any and all accounts with online marketplace platform(s) eBay.com, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all other persons in active concert with any of them.

² As defined in the Application, a "Merchant Storefront" is any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in products which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them.

Works within metatags or other markers within website source code, from use on any web page (including as the title of any product listing), from any advertising links to other websites, from search engines' databases or cache memory, and any other form of use such terms or works which is visible to a computer user or serves to direct computer searches to Internet based e-commerce stores owned, or operated by each Defendant, including the Merchant Storefronts operating under the Seller IDs;

- (5) each Defendant shall not transfer ownership of the User Accounts or Merchant Storefronts associated with the Seller IDs;
- (6) each Defendant shall preserve copies of all computer files relating to the use of any User Accounts and/or Merchant Storefronts under the Seller IDs and shall take steps necessary to retrieve computer files relating to the use of the User Accounts and/or Merchant Storefronts under their Seller IDs that may be deleted before the entry of this Order;
- (7) upon receipt of notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to Amazon Services LLC d/b/a Amazon.com ("Amazon") ("Third Party Service Provider(s)"), Amazon Payments, Inc. d/b/a Pay.amazon.com ("Amazon Pay") ("Financial Institution(s)"), and their related companies and affiliates, shall immediately identify and restrain all funds, as opposed to ongoing account activity, in or which are hereafter transmitted into the accounts related to the Defendants as identified on Schedule "A" hereto, as well as all funds in or which are transmitted into (i) any other accounts of the same customer(s); (ii) any other accounts which transfer funds into the same financial institution account(s), and/or any of the other accounts subject to

this Order; and (iii) any other accounts tied to or used by any of the Seller IDs identified on Schedule “A” hereto;³

- (8) upon receipt of notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), shall immediately divert to a holding account for the trust of the Court all funds in or which are hereafter transmitted into all accounts related to Defendants identified in Schedule “A” hereto, and associated payment accounts, and any other accounts for the same customer(s) as well as any other accounts which transfer funds into the same financial institution account(s) as any other accounts subject to this Order;
- (9) The Third Party Service Provider(s) and Financial Institution(s) shall further, within five (5) business days of receiving this Order, provide Plaintiffs’ counsel with all data that details (i) an accounting of the total funds restrained and identifies the financial account(s) which the restrained funds are related to, and (ii) the account transactions related to all funds transmitted into financial account(s) which have been restrained. Such restraining of the funds and the disclosure of the related financial institution account information shall be made without notice to the account holders, until after those accounts are restrained. No funds restrained by this Order shall be transferred or surrendered by any Third Party Service Provider or Financial Institution for any purpose (other than pursuant to a chargeback made pursuant to that Third Party Service Provider

³ This Order contemplates that discovery may reveal that Defendants may have other user accounts operated by other Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions and that the additionally discovery Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions, once identified and provided with notice, shall also be subject to the discovery, restraints and injunctions set forth in this Order.

or Financial Institution's security interest in the funds) without express authorization of this Court;

(10) Upon Plaintiffs' request, any Internet marketplace who is provided with notice of this Order, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and Financial Institution(s), shall immediately cease fulfillment of and sequester Defendants' inventory assets corresponding to the Seller IDs identified on Schedule "A" hereto in its inventory, possession, custody, or control, and hold such goods in trust for the Court during pendency of this action;

(11) this Order shall apply to the Seller IDs, associated Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and any other seller identification names, Accounts or Merchant Storefronts, Third Party Service Provider or Financial Institution accounts which are being used by Defendants for the purpose of infringing the BRISTLY[®] Mark and/or BRISTLY[®] Works and/or BRISTLY[®] Trade Dress at issue in this action and/or unfairly competing with Plaintiff;

(12) Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), subject to this Order may petition the Court to modify the asset restraint set out in this Order; and

(13) this Order shall remain in effect until the date for the hearing to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued as set forth below, or until such further dates as set by the Court or stipulated by the parties.

B. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that upon Plaintiffs' request, any Internet marketplace who is provided with notice of this Order, including but not

limited to the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions, is hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the hearing and determination of Plaintiffs' Application for a preliminary injunction, or until further order of the Court:

- (1) secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendants' Assets from or to financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendant or any Defendant's User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad) ("Defendants' Financial Accounts") until further ordered by this Court; and
- (2) within (5) days after receiving notice of this Order, providing services to Defendants, Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, without limitation, continued operation of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and any other listings linked to the same sellers or linked to any other alias seller identification names being used and/or controlled by Defendants.

II. Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue and Service of Order

A. Defendants are hereby ORDERED to show cause before this Court in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, the U.S. Courthouse, 700 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania in Courtroom No. ____ on the ____ day of _____, 2019, at _____ .m. or at such other time that this Court deems appropriate, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to FRCP 65(a), should not issue. **Defendants are on notice that failure to appear at the hearing may result in the imposition of a preliminary injunction against them.**

B. Opposing papers, if any, shall be filed electronically with the Court and served on Plaintiffs' counsel by delivering copies thereof to the office of Ference & Associates LLC at 409 Broad Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15143 before _____, 2019. Plaintiffs shall file any Reply papers on or before _____, 2019.

C. After Plaintiffs' counsel has received confirmation from the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions or otherwise, regarding the restraint of funds directed herein, Plaintiffs shall serve copies of the Complaint, the Application, this Order, and any Discovery on each Defendant via their corresponding email/online contact form provided on the Internet based e-commerce stores operating under the respective Seller IDs, or by providing a copy of this order by e-mail to the marketplace platform, which in turn notifies each Defendant of the Order, or by other means reasonably calculated to give notice which is permitted by the Court. In addition, Plaintiffs shall post copies of the Complaint, Application, this Order, any Discovery, and all other pleadings and documents filed in this action on a website designated by Plaintiffs,⁴ and shall provide the website address to Defendants via e-mail/online contact form, and such notice so given shall be deemed good and sufficient service thereof. Plaintiffs shall continue to provide notice of these proceedings and copies of the documents on file in this matter to Defendants by regularly updating the website designated by Plaintiffs or by other means reasonably calculated to give notice which is permitted by the Court.

III. Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

⁴ Rule 65 has been interpreted to require that a party have notice of the motion and hearing; perfecting service on a defendant is not a prerequisite to the entry of a preliminary injunction order. *Pate v. Gov't of the Virgin Islands*, 2015 WL 1937701 n.9 (VI Sup. Ct. April 17, 2015); *Corrigan Dispatch Co. v. Casa Guzman, S.A.*, 569 F.2d 300, 302 (5th Cir. 1978).

(1) Plaintiffs may propound interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.

(2) Plaintiffs may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to FRCP 26 and 34, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.

(3) Plaintiffs may serve requests for admissions pursuant to FRCP 26 and 36, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall provide written responses under oath to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within fourteen (14) days of receiving actual notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), shall provide to Plaintiffs' counsel all documents and records in their possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:

- (1) any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts that Defendants have ever had and/or currently maintain with the respective Third Party Service Provider;
- (2) the identities, location and contact information, including any and all e-mail addresses of Defendants that were not previously provided;
- (3) the Defendants' methods of payment, methods for accepting payment and any and all financial information, including, but not limited to, information associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, a full accounting of Defendants' sales history and listing history under such accounts and Defendants' Financial Accounts associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts; and
- (4) Defendants' unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiffs' BRISTLY trademark and copyrights in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any products, and any products which use Plaintiffs' BRISTLY trademark and copyrights.

V. Security Bond

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall place security (corporate surety bond, cash, certified check, or attorney's check) in the amount of \$_____ Dollars (_____) with the Court, which amount is determined adequate for the payment of any damages any person may be entitled to recover as a result of an improper or wrongful restraint ordered hereunder.

VI. Summons

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall issue a single original summons in the name of “WORTHBUYER and all other Defendants identified in the Complaint” that will apply to all Defendants.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this _____ day of October, 2019, at _____ .m.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

MARK R. HORNAK
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Schedule “A”

Defendants With Store Name and Seller ID

Def. No.	Defendant/ Amazon Store Name	Amazon Seller ID	Amazon ASIN
1	Go Well	A1EI53ECSEE358	B07MLH1W35
2	Ahui	A3U2CPCPJ050N8	B07TX92ZLNQ
3	Center Sport Life	ANNI75IPFOMB8	B07MLH29KL
4	Clever Market	A2G8CPMFVS1839	B07MLH29KL
5	Cube Store	A4KLC6JANYKEQ	B07TRQBNVJ
6	Essentialstore	A3TGAHL4LATVYC	B07S3V85B7
7	LeshionLife	AQZVMFU5EDRRJ	B07MXNNPXV
8	Lomeve	A352GYI24QCF3Z	B07STV2VTS
9	Mansgoods	A3LGEKSF23N94S	B07VJ5JDMY
10	Matfapero	ATCV9G5EHHD4B	B07WMNVQHQ
11	Moonia No. 1 Store	A1NT1LLAH3SCVB	B07QR1V93V
12	MS Hong	A1MQ17GQ2699WZ	B07S4FY7HM
13	Niuworld	A3DOF2HOZYWW1W	B07QXDB4YV
14	Pounce'n' Play shop	A23EEJ69XLPF6I	B07S9SSVT3
15	Solclair	A3CE0WUVA70I2S	B07QQLM9V8
16	Very Happy Shop	AYRFLCGTWYPMK	B07KVF9CTZ
17	WJASI	A2BLL18GQ2VK41	B07SSXM6FK
18	Yaslin	AOTR5BEXCZ5V6	B07MWWVZ69
19	Yiwu Lanjie Trading Co., Ltd.	A6LZWYNZ7QGCD	B07RJTXGJ3